REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the above amendments. Claims 1-4, 7-13, 15, 16 and 18-23 remain pending in the case. Claims 1-4, 7-13, 15, 16 and 18-23 are rejected. Claims 1, 10 and 21 are amended herein. No new matter has been added.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-4, 9-13, 15, 16 and 20-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 5,887,145 by Harari et al., hereinafter referred to as the "Harari" reference. Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submit that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1-4, 9-13, 15, 16 and 20-23 are not anticipated nor rendered obvious by Harari in view of the following rationale.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to independent Claim 1 that recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to (emphasis added):

An intermediary apparatus adapted to be communicatively coupled with an electronic device, said electronic device having an externally disposed accessible slot, said intermediary apparatus comprising:

a first module having an opening, said first module adapted to be communicatively coupled with said electronic device, said first module adapted to receive a second module, said first module comprising a wireless communication device that is operable to provide said electronic device with wireless

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

communications functionality independent of said second module;

a controller coupled with said first module, said controller for controlling communication between said first module and said second module, provided said second module is inserted into said first module, said second module comprising a compact memory device;

a first electrical connector coupled with said first module, said first electrical connector adapted to enable communication between said first module and said electronic device; and

a second electrical connector coupled with said first module, said second electrical connector adapted to enable communication between said first module and said second module, provided said second module is inserted into said first module.

Independent Claims 10 and 21 recite similar limitations. Claims 2-4 and 9 that depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 11-13, 15, 16 and 20 that depend from independent Claim 10, and Claims 22 and 23 that depend from independent Claim 21 provide further recitations of the features of the present invention.

Harari and the claimed invention are very different. Harari teaches a removable mother/daughter peripheral card for use in a personal computer. Applicants understand Harari to teach a peripheral card where the mother card contains common functional components of a number of peripherals, and the daughter card contains the rest of the functional components. (col. 4, lines 22-26). Specifically, the mother/daughter peripheral card operates to place the control operations for a peripheral device on the mother card, while placing functional components specific to a particular application on the daughter card.

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228 Applicants understand that neither the mother card nor the daughter card of Harari provide any independent functionality, and that the mother card and daughter card are dependent on each other to provide any functionality.

Specifically, Harari teaches that both the mother card and the daughter card are required for the mother/daughter peripheral card to operate. In other words, the mother card cannot operate or provide any functionality without the daughter card, and the daughter card cannot operate or provide any functionality without the mother card. In particular, Harari does not teach, describe or suggest that the mother card includes a wireless communication device that operates independent of a daughter card.

With reference to Figure 3 of Harari, and the accompanying description, the functional components of the mother card 10 are shown. Controller 40 includes host interface 54 for interfacing with a host system and memory interface 56 for interfacing with a flash memory. Specifically, the host interface communicates with the host system in accordance with the PCMCIA standard or any other standard card interface (col. 7, lines 52-57). Applicants respectfully assert that mother card 10 does not provide any independent functionality, and requires a connection to daughter card 20 to provide such functionality. For example, while mother card 10 includes ROM 52, it is a small amount that is not suitable for mass storage. Connecting daughter card 20 with memory chips 30 to mother card 10 provides the mass storage function. Applicants respectfully assert that Harari does not teach, describe or suggest a mother

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

card that provides any functionality independent of the daughter card.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does Harari teach,
describe or suggest a mother card including a wireless communication "that is
operable to provide said electronic device with wireless communications
functionality independent of said second module," as claimed (emphasis added).

In contrast, embodiments of the claimed invention are directed towards an intermediary apparatus including a "first module comprising a wireless communication device that is operable to provide said electronic device with wireless communications functionality <u>independent of said second module</u>" (emphasis added). With reference to Figure 7A of the present application, intermediary apparatus 2001 includes device 108B, where device 108B is a wireless communication device (page 32, line 24 through page 33, line 2; page 33, lines 10-13).

An embodiment of device 108B is described in detail in Figure 2 and the accompanying description (page 20, line 6 through page 23, line 2). In particular, device 108B (also referred to as transceiver 108B) is coupled to an external device. As a component of intermediary device 2001 of Figure 7, device 108B is coupled electronic device 100 of Figure 6 (page 32, lines 7-11). Specifically, device 108B is fully operational, and does not require any

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

additional componentry, such as second module SM2, to provide wireless communications functionality to electronic device 100.

Applicants respectfully assert that Harari in particular does not teach, disclose, or suggest an intermediary apparatus including a "first module comprising a wireless communication device that is operable to provide said electronic device with wireless communications functionality independent of said second module," as claimed (emphasis added). In contrast, Harari provides a mother/daughter peripheral card wherein the mother card includes functional components common to a plurality of daughter cards. In particular, the mother card does not provide any functionality without being connected to a daughter card, thereby requiring a daughter card to provide any functionality. Moreover, by teaching that the mother card requires a connection to a daughter card to provide a functional peripheral component to the host device, Harari teaches away from such a configuration.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does Harari teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claims 1, 10 and 21, and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully submit the Harari also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 2-4 and 9 that depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 11-13, 15, 16 and 20 that depend from independent Claim 10, and

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

Claims 22 and 23 that depend from independent Claim 21. Therefore,
Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-4, 9, 11-13, 15, 16, 20, 22 and 23
overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and are in a condition for
allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claims 7, 8, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harari in view of United States Patent 6,377,218 by Nelson et al., hereinafter referred to as the "Nelson" reference. Claims 7 and 8 depend from independent Claim 1 and Claims 18 and 19 depend from independent Claim 10. Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submit that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1 and 10 are not anticipated by the combination of Harari and Nelson in view of the following rationale.

The combination of Harari and Nelson does not teach a docking system as claimed. For instance, Harari and the claimed invention are very different. Applicants understand Harari to teach a mother/daughter peripheral card. However, as described above, Applicants respectfully assert that Harari does not teach, describe or suggest a mother card that provides any functionality to a host device without connection to a daughter card. In contrast, by teaching that the mother card requires a connection to a daughter card to provide a functional peripheral component to the host device, Harari teaches away from such a configuration. Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that Harari does not

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

teach, describe or suggest a mother card including a wireless communication device, as claimed.

Moreover, the <u>combination</u> of Harari and Nelson fails to teach or suggest this claim limitation because Nelson does not overcome the shortcomings of Harari. Applicants understand Nelson to teach a device for providing an antenna, a receptacle, and a physical connector on a PCMCIA card. In particular, Nelson teaches a PCMCIA card capable of providing wireless communications.

As described in Harari, the mother card does not provide any independent functionality. In particular, the mother card of Harari requires connection to a daughter card to provide a functional peripheral component to the host device. By teaching that the mother card requires a connection to a daughter card to provide a functional peripheral component to the host device, Harari teaches away from the combination with Nelson.

Furthermore, Harari teaches that a daughter card may be connected to the mother card to provide a communication peripheral to the host device.

Applicants understand Nelson to teach a PCMCIA card that already has wireless communication functionality. As described above, Harari teaches that the daughter card must be physically connected to the mother card to provide a functional peripheral component. Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

there would be no motivation to combine the references in such a way as to arrive at the claimed invention, as there is only need for a single communication device. Since the first module and second module are coupled to a second electrical connector adapted to enable communication between the first module and the second module, there is no need for wireless communications functionality between the first module and second module. Accordingly, the combination of Harari and Nelson would provide the redundancy of two wireless communications devices, resulting in extra componentry at an extra cost. By teaching a mother/daughter peripheral card with common functional components on a comprehensive mother card such that each individual peripheral will have less components, thereby reducing cost (col. 4, lines 30-33), Harari teaches away from the combination with

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does the <u>combination</u> of Harari and Nelson teach, disclose or suggest the present invention as recited in independent Claims 1 and 10, and that these claims are thus in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully submit the combination of Harari and Nelson also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 7 and 8 dependant on allowable base Claim 1 and Claims 18 and 19 dependant on allowable base Claim 10.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 7, 8, 18 and 19 overcome

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228

the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

CONCLUSION

Based on the amendments and arguments presented above, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-4, 7-13, 15, 16 and 18-23 are allowable and, therefore, Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of these Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account No. 23-0085.

Respectfully submitted,

Wagner, Murabito & Hao LLP

Dated: 15 Dec , 2004

Matthew J. Blecher Registration No. 46,558

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 938-9060

Serial No. 09/823,449 3COM-3228 Examiner: St.Cyr, D. Art Unit: 2876

-16 -